

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,955	01/29/2004		Yukio Michishita	00USFP606M.K. DIV	2335
21254	7590	12/02/2004	EXAMINER		INER
MCGINN 8			TRAN, DZUNG D		
8321 OLD C SUITE 200	COURTHO	OUSE ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
VIENNA, V	/A 22182	2-3817	2633		

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/765,955	MICHISHITA, YUKIO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dzung D Tran	2633				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 J	lanuary 2004.					
	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-16</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-11 and 14-16</u> is/are rejected.  7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>12 and 13</u> is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and accomposition and accomposition and accomposition and accomposition and accomposition are declaration in the Examination and accomposition are declaration in the Examination and accomposition are declaration as objected to by the Examination and accomposition are declaration as objected to by the Examination and accomposition are declaration as objected to by the Examination are declaration as objected to be accomposed to the examination are declaration as objected to be accomposed to the examination are declaration as objected to by the Examination are declaration as objected to by the Examination are declaration as objected to by the Examination are declaration as objected to be accomposed to the examination are declaration as objected to be accomposed to the examination are declaration as objected to be accomposed to the examination are declaration as objected to be accomposed to the examination are declaration as objected to be accomposed to the examination are declaration as objected to be accomposed to the examination are declaration as objected to be accomposed to the examination are declaration as objected to be accomposed to the examination are declaration as objected to be accomposed to the examination are declaration as objected to the examination are declaration as	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a common or cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a common or cepted or b) objected to by the lead of the drawing(s) is objected or b) objected or b) objected to by the lead of the	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/12/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/765,955 Page 2

Art Unit: 2633

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Specification

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 14 recites the limitation "third wavelength dispersion compensator" in line 3.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 15 recites the limitation "fourth wavelength dispersion compensator" in line 3.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Otani et al. US patent no. 6,115,156 in view of Prior Art figure 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,955

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claim 9, Otani discloses a demultiplexer device 19 (col. 3, line 46-47) (same as light branching apparatus), comprising:

an optical coupler (20, 21, 22, col. 3, line 8) (same as splitter) which splits an optical signal for a plurality of channels ( $\lambda 1.....\lambda 4$ ) on a first optical fiber (1) into at least a first optical channel signal on a first channel (channel  $\lambda 4$ ) of a second optical fiber (fiber that output S1) and a plurality of second optical channel signals on a plurality of second channels (channel  $\lambda 1$ ,  $\lambda 2$ ,  $\lambda 3$ ) of a third optical fiber (fiber that output S2, S3, S4); and

a first equalizing fiber 2 (same as wavelength dispersion compensator) which is provided for said first channel (channel  $\lambda 4$ ) and compensates wavelength dispersion of said first optical channel signal (channel  $\lambda 4$ ) due to the second optical fiber (fiber that output S1) (col. 1, lines 51-54). Although Otani does not specific disclose equalizing fiber 2 compensates wavelength dispersion of said first optical channel signal (channel  $\lambda 4$ ) due to the optical splitter. However, Otani discloses that equalizing fiber 2 is fully compensated for optical signal S1 (col. 1, lines 51-54) that is passed thru optical splitter 20. Therefore, if it is not inherent, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art that at the equalizing fiber would compensated wavelength dispersion of said first optical channel signal (channel  $\lambda 4$ ) due to the second optical fiber (fiber that output S1) and due to the splitters (20). Otani does not disclose a first optical fiber connected to a first station; a second optical fiber connected to a second station; a third optical fiber connected to a third station. However, Prior art, in figure 1 discloses a WDM system that include optical brancher 13, having a first optical fiber connected to a first station

Application/Control Number: 10/765,955

Art Unit: 2633

11; a second optical fiber connected to a second Station 12; a third optical fiber connected to a third station 14. At the time of the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to connect the terminal stations taught by prior art connected to the de-multiplexer of Otani. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order for properly distribute the optical signals to end users.

Regarding claim 10, Otani discloses a second wavelength dispersion compensator 23 which is provided for said plurality-of second channels (channel  $\lambda 1$ ,  $\lambda 2$ ,  $\lambda 3$ ) and compensates wavelength dispersion of said plurality of second optical channel signals (channel  $\lambda 1$ ,  $\lambda 2$ ,  $\lambda 3$ ) due to said optical splitter.

Regarding claim 11, Otani further discloses first equalizing fiber 2 (same as wavelength dispersion compensator) which is provided for said first channel (channel  $\lambda 4$ ) and compensates wavelength dispersion of said first optical channel signal (channel  $\lambda 4$ ) due to the second optical fiber (fiber that output S1).

5. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Otani et al. US patent no. 6,115,156 in view of Prior Art figure 1 and further in view of Bergano US patent no. 6,137,604.

Regarding claim 16, as per claim 9 above, Otani and Prior art figure 1 disclose all the limitations, except for plurality of optical channel signals are compensated in units of channels, and said first wavelength dispersion compensator includes at least a first wavelength dispersion compensating element for the channel of said first optical

Application/Control Number: 10/765,955 Page 5

Art Unit: 2633

channel signal. Bergano discloses a dispersion equalizer 105 of figure 1 that compensating the wavelength dispersion per each channel (i.e. sub-band) see figure 3. At the time of the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the dispersion equalizer that is disclosed by Bergano in the system of Otani and Morikawa. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since the dispersion equalizer device of Bergano offers advantage for compensating wavelength dispersion of each optical channel in the variable span distance.

Regarding claims 14 and 15, as far as examiner understood, Bergano discloses third wavelength dispersion compensator and fourth wavelength dispersion compensator (205<sub>1</sub> to 205<sub>N</sub>) which is provided for said first channel and third channel and compensates wavelength dispersion of said first optical channel signal and third optical channel signal due to said second optical fiber (fiber that output S1).

6. Claims 12 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2633

a. Morakawa et al. U.S. patent no. 6,404,523. Wavelength division multiplexing system and its termination

- b. Mizrahi U.S. patent no. 6,373,609. Wavelength tailored dispersion compensation apparatus
- c. Delavaux et al. US patent no. 5,608,562. Optical communication system with adjustable dispersion compensation
- d. Cvijetic et al. US patent no. 5,917,635. Optical repeaters for single and multiwavelength operation with dispersion equalization
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dzung D Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3025. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/765,955

Art Unit: 2633

Page 7

DT 11/18/2004 Warphan
Hanh Phan
Primary Examiner
11/24/04